Point Beach 1

Initiating Events

Significance:

Dec 28, 2002

Identified By: NRC Item Type: FIN Finding

Inadequate and Untimely Corrective Actions For Flooding of Manholes Containing Cables

One finding of very low risk significance was identified by the inspectors for the licensee's failure to establish timely and adequate corrective actions to address the flooding of manholes which contained both safety and non-safety related systems, structures, and components. The inspectors identified that the licensee had not implemented effective corrective actions to address long-standing problems with flooding in manholes and had deferred the implementation of corrective actions with insufficient basis. The finding was more than minor because, if left uncorrected, it would become a more significant concern since the lack of effective corrective actions to inspect and pump out water in manholes could affect safety-related cables routed through manholes such as those for service water pumps. Additionally, some of the cables routed in manholes provide power to safety-related buses from the licensee's offsite power systems. Hence, the loss of such power, due to cable failures, could result in momentary loss of power to the bus and the inability to re-energize the affected buses from the normal power source. This issue was categorized as a finding of very low risk significance since the identified water intrusion conditions had not caused any safetyrelated equipment failures at this time. No violation of NRC requirements occurred.

Inspection Report# : 2002013(pdf)

Significance: Dec 28, 2002

Identified By: NRC Item Type: FIN Finding

Insufficient Preparation for Cold Weather Conditions

A finding of very low significance was identified for not sufficiently coordinating and being adequately prepared for the onset of cold weather prior to November 1, 2002, a point at which the Point Beach Nuclear Plant had experienced 30 hours of below freezing temperatures over 6 nights. The primary cause of this finding was related to the cross-cutting area of human performance. Despite beginning freeze protection activities at an appropriate time, lack of coordination between licensee departments resulted in incomplete preparations prior to the onset of freezing temperatures. The inspectors determined that the issue was more than minor because it increased the likelihood of those events that upset plant stability during power operations and would, if left uncorrected, become a more significant safety concern in subsequent years if more safety-related systems were to be affected. The finding was of very low safety significance because no safety-related functions or mitigating systems were rendered inoperable. No violation of NRC requirements occurred.

Inspection Report# : 2002013(pdf)

Significance:

Dec 28, 2002

Identified By: NRC Item Type: FIN Finding

Mis-calibration of Unit 1 Steam Generator Level Setpoint Programmer Module

The inspectors identified a finding of very low safety significance concerning the failure of a technician to properly calibrate feedwater controller LM-463F. The primary cause of this finding was related to the cross-cutting area of human performance in that the technician who performed the calibration, because of inattention to detail, did not restore a dial setting after taking three as-found readings, adjusting two potentiometers, and taking three as-left readings. The inspectors determined that the error in calibrating the steam generator level system controller, an error that affected both generators, was of more than minor significance in that it was associated with the human performance attribute of the initiating events cornerstone and affected the cornerstone objective of limiting the likelihood of those events (such as a loss of feedwater) that upset plant stability. The finding was of very low significance because the finding did not contribute to the likelihood of a primary or secondary system loss-of-coolant accident initiator, did not contribute to the likelihood of a reactor trip and the likelihood that mitigation equipment or functions would not be available, and did not increase the likelihood of a fire or internal/external flood. No violation of NRC requirements occurred.

Inspection Report# : 2002013(pdf)



Significance: Sep 30, 2002

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Untimely Development and Approval of (a) (1) Action Plan for Gas Turbine, G05

The inspectors identified a Non-Cited Violation of 10 CFR 50.65(a)(1) concerning the failure to set (a)(1) goals and monitor against the established goals for the G05 gas turbine (GT), a risk significant maintenance rule component relied upon to meet station blackout and certain Appendix R requirements. The issue of failing to set G05 GT (a)(1) goals and monitor against the established goals was more than minor since actual G05 GT equipment problems occurred. However, since the G05 equipment problems were not attributable to a 10 CFR 50.65(a)(1) violation, rather, a maintenance rule violation occurred as a consequence of the G05 GT problems, the performance deficiency could not be processed through the Manual Chapter 0609, "Significance Determination Process." Therefore, in accordance with Appendix B to Inspection Manual Chapter 0612, this maintenance rule violation was considered to be of very low safety significance.

Inspection Report# : 2002010(pdf)

Significance:

Aug 09, 2002

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Emergency Operating Procedures Incorrectly Translated From Design Basis of the Safety Injection System

The inspectors identified a Non-Cited Violation (NCV) of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, "Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings." Several specific emergency operating procedure (EOP) deficiencies were identified during the inspection. The finding was considered to be greater than minor because the failure of licensee personnel to take appropriate actions under post-accident conditions could have resulted in system operating modes that had not been analyzed, and could have affected the performance of safety-related components and had a credible impact on safety. Because there was no actual failure of safety-related components associated with the mitigating systems cornerstone, the finding is considered to be of very low safety significance

Inspection Report# : 2002009(pdf)

Significance:

Aug 09, 2002

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Corrective Actions Were Inadequate to Ensure Accurate Calculations For RWST Water Level

The inspectors identified a Non-Cited Violation (10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action") where the licensee failed to take adequate corrective actions to resolve previously identified problems with the plant's engineering calculations concerning refueling water storage tank (RWST) water levels. The finding was considered to be greater than minor because licensee personnel failed to correct repetitive RWST calculation errors, which resulted in the propagation of erroneous RWST elevation vs. level data into inputs to other calculations. Inaccurate level indications were provided to the control room operators during performance of emergency operating procedures (EOPs). The failure to provide the operator with accurate RWST level indications during the performance of EOPs during a potential loss of coolant accident could have adversely affected the performance of safety-related components and had a credible impact on safety. Because there was no actual failure of safety-related components associated with the mitigating systems cornerstone, the finding is considered to be of very low safety significance

Inspection Report# : 2002009(pdf)

Significance: Dec 13, 2001

Identified By: Licensee Item Type: VIO Violation

POTENTIAL COMMON MODE FAILURE OF AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMPS DUE TO INADEQUATE PROCEDURAL GUIDANCE

Units 1 and 2. The licensee identified a potential common mode failure of the auxiliary feedwater pumps due to operator actions specified in plant procedures. The team identified that procedural guidance provided to operators was inadequate to prevent such a common mode failure. In addition, the team identified that the licensee had seven opportunities, from 1981 through 1997, to identify the problem and take appropriate corrective actions. After considering the information developed during the inspection and the information the licensee provided at the April 29, 2002, regulatory conference, the NRC concluded that a violation of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, was appropriate for two of the originally proposed seven examples. The failures to provide adequate procedural guidance and to take appropriate corrective actions are both a violation of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criteria V and XVI. This issue has been determined to have high safety significance (Red). A common mode failure of the auxiliary feedwater pumps would result in substantially reduced mitigation capability for safely shutting down the plant in response to certain transients. The significance was determined to be high largely due to the relatively high initiating event frequencies associated with the involved transients and the high likelihood of improper operator actions due to the procedural inadequacies. The final significance determination for the Red finding and Notice of Violation were issued to the licensee in a letter dated July 12, 2002. Inspection Report# : 2001017(pdf)

Barrier Integrity

Emergency Preparedness

Significance:

Apr 01, 2002

Identified By: NRC
Item Type: FIN Finding

Inadequate Critique of Two Exercise Performance Issues

Two exercise performance issues, which are associated with emergency preparedness planning standard 10 CFR 50.47(b)(10), were inadequately critiqued by licensee staff. The first issue was associated with the licensee's critique of the initial offsite Protective Action Recommendation (PAR) that its exercise participants communicated to offsite officials. The NRC identified issues that contradicted the licensee's critique conclusion that the initial PAR was a successful performance indicator opportunity with respect to its content. The second issue was the licensee's critique of its participants decision making process on the simulated removal from the site of non-essential personnel, who were not members of the current shift of emergency responders, once all onsite personnel were accounted for. Using the Emergency Preparedness Significance Determination Process, the NRC has made a preliminary determination that the finding was of low to moderate risk significance (White). In accordance with NRC's Enforcement Policy, as published in NUREG 1600, it was determined that there is no apparent violation of NRC requirements since the critique issues were related to an exercise, rather than to an actual emergency. On September 12, 2002, the NRC provided the licensee with a letter detailing the final results of the NRC's significance determination of the February 2002 Exercise critique finding. Based on the information obtained during the inspection, including the feedback obtained from the licensee during the April 2002 exit interview, and the additional information contained in the licensee's June 27, 2002 submittal, the NRC concluded that the inspection finding is appropriately characterized as a White finding.

Inspection Report# : 2002004(pdf)

Occupational Radiation Safety

Public Radiation Safety

Physical Protection

Miscellaneous

Last modified: March 25, 2003